

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/789,181	02/26/2004	Charles R. Mooney	ECC-5062CIP2DIV	6985
7590 08/19/2008 Edwards Lifesciences LLC			EXAMINER	
Legal Dept.			VU, QUYNH-NHU HOANG	
One Edwards Way Irvine, CA 92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, ,			3763	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/789 181 MOONEY ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit QUYNH-NHU H. VU 3763 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 July 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-8,13,14,17,18 and 21-26 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5, 9-12, 15-16, 19-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/06)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/789,181

Art Unit: 3763

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Response to Amendment

Amendment filed on 7/3/08 has been entered.

Claims 1-5, 9-12, 15-16, 19-20 are present for examination.

Claims 6-8, 13-14, 17-18, 21-26 are withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments filed on 7/3/08 have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

Therefore, claims 1-5, 9-12, 15-16, 19-20 are rejected in the same ground rejections as set forth in the office action mailed 1/24/08.

### Drawings

The drawing has been withdrawn in view of the amendments filed on 7/3/08.

# Terminal Disclaimer

An attorney or agent, not of record, is not authorized to sign a terminal disclaimer in the capacity as an attorney or agent acting in a representative capacity as provided by 37 CFR 1.34 (a). See 37 CFR 1.321(b) and/or (c). Please contact Martin Patricia at 571-272-5004 for more details.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be needlived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 9-12, 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Balbierz et al. (US 5.156.596) in view of Nishiima et al. (US 5.092.846).

Application/Control Number: 10/789,181

Art Unit: 3763

Balbierz discloses a multiple lumen access device comprising: an infusion introducer 28 having an access tube; the introducer including a hub 22 connected to the proximal end of the access tube, a valve 70 in a fixed location within the hub that provides a seal around medical implements that are introduced and withdrawn to and from the body through an access tube lumen; a catheter 28, 52 (Figs. 1-5) including a catheter tube and a junction housing 38 on a proximal end of the catheter tube, the junction housing including a main channel 42 and at least one auxiliary channel 40 separate from the main channel; a multi-function adapter 66 having a first unit and second unit, the first unit 46 being attached to the junction housing 38, and the second unit 24 being fixedly attached to the hub 22 (see Figs. 3-5).

Balbierz does not disclose the introducer further including a side arm opening distally with respect to the valve

Nishijima discloses that an introducer including a side arm 8 distally with respect to a valve 3 (Figs. 1-7).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of Balbierz with a side arm, as taught by Nishijima, in order to infuse/delivery the drug. Furthermore, the side arm provided and attached into the hub is very well-known in the art for intended use such as infuse/delivery drugs.

Regarding claim 5, Balbierz in view of Nishijima disclose the claimed invention except for the multi-function adapter comprises two L-shaped channels. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to L-shaped channels, it appears that the invention would perform equally well with Vshaped or other shaped channels.

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statule) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg. 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPO2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPO2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Long, 759 F.2d S87, 225 USPO 645 (Fed. Cir. 1995); In re Van Orumm, 666 F.2d 937, 214 USPO 761 (CCPA 1982); In re

Application/Control Number: 10/789,181

Art Unit: 3763

Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filled terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-5, 9-12, 15-16, 19-20 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-57 of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,827,710; claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,592,544. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are not structurally distinguishable from the claims in the patents.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/3/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

In this case, Balbierz show all claimed subject matter except the side arm opening distally with respect to the valve. While Nishijima has same field of endeavor, therefore, one skill in the art would combine Balbierz in view of Nishijima for intended use such as infuse/delivery drugs.

### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/789,181

Art Unit: 3763

of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to QUYNH-NHU H. VU whose telephone number is (571)272-3228. The examiner can normally be reached on 6.00 am to 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.usplo.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Nicholas D Lucchesi/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3763 Quynh-Nhu H. Vu Examiner Art Unit 3763